UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/684,305	10/06/2000	Michael W. Kaiser	FORS-04447	5698
72960 Casimir Jones, S	7590 04/17/201 S.C.		EXAMINER	
2275 DEMING	WAY, SUITE 310		STAPLES, MARK	
MIDDLETON, WI 53562			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/17/2012	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner MARK STAPLES Art Unit MARK STAPLES All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) MARK STAPLES. (3) (2) MARY ANN D. BROW. (4) Date of Interview: 10 April 2012. Type:	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/684,305	KAISER ET AL.			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) MARK STAPLES. (2) MARY ANN D. BROW. (4) Date of Interview: 10 April 2012. Type: Telephonic Video Conference applicant applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If Yes, brief description:	Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
(1) MARK STAPLES. (2) MARY ANN D. BROW. Date of Interview: 10 April 2012. Type: Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: applicant applicant applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:		MARK STAPLES	1637			
(2) MARY ANN D. BROW. Date of Interview: 10 April 2012. Type: Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: applicant applicant applicant applicant applicant shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description: No.	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
Date of Interview: 10 April 2012. Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	(1) <u>MARK STAPLES</u> .	(3)				
Type: Telephonic Video Conference applicant applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If Yes, brief description:	(2) <u>MARY ANN D. BROW</u> .	(4)				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If Yes, brief description:	Date of Interview: 10 April 2012.					
If Yes, brief description:	Type: Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: applicant [applicant's representative]				
Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☒Others		⊠ No.				
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>none</u> .	Claim(s) discussed: <u>none</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .	Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .					
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)						
A telephone message was left with Applicant's Representaive on 04/10/2012 requesting that the missing information of the Applicant names be provided on the 12 page Information Disclosure Statement filed 03/03/2011. Applicant has responded and provided the information in a completed IDS. This is considered and a copy is attached.						
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.						
Examiner recordation instructions : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.						
/Mark Staples/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637						

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (Rev. 8/11/2010)